UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,675                           | 02/19/2004  | Paul Bernell Finley JR. | AUS920030948US1     | 5236             |
| 43307<br>IBM CORP (Al                | IINER       |                         |                     |                  |
| C/O AMY PAT                          | TILLO       | KESSLER, MATTHEW E      |                     |                  |
| P. O. BOX 161327<br>AUSTIN, TX 78716 |             |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,                                    |             |                         | 2145                |                  |
|                                      |             |                         |                     |                  |
|                                      |             |                         | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                      |             |                         | 06/05/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)          |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/782,675  | FINLEY ET AL.         |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit              |  |  |  |  |
|  | Matthew E. Kessler  | 2145                  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma   | arch 2008.  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | action is non-final.  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| ·—   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.               |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.                              |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 5, and 20</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | ·— · · · ·  | •                     |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   | (1)                   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/782,675 Page 2

Art Unit: 2145

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

2. Claims 2-4, 6-19 are canceled.

3. Claims 1, 5, and 20 are rejected.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As noted in the Interview summary, an updated search was performed and prior art qualifying as a 102(b) rejection was found. The affidavit is moot in grounds of this new rejection. However, the examiner asserts that the affidavit does not show due diligence nor is it signed by the Inventors and in its current form is invalid.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson US Patent Application 2001/0054101.

Art Unit: 2145

As to claim 1, Wilson teaches:

A method for modifying a Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) server configuration for a dynamically configured system within a network, comprising:

receiving a request from a first system to register for a lease time modification privilege at a daemon of said DHCP server, wherein said first system is an installation server for installing software on at least one dynamically configured system independent from said DHCP server (It is inconsequential that the first system happens to be an "installation server" as opposed to any other system which makes the identical type of DHCP request. The method described in claim 1 is for the modification and assignment of IP's at a DHCP server. Furthermore any of the clients recited in Wilson could be interpreted as installation servers since any PC client can provide installation services to itself or other systems on the network.), wherein said daemon of said DHCP server allows a plurality of systems to each register for at least one of a plurality of types of modification privileges at said DHCP server (Fig. 3, Registration Device Driver 304, Soln Daemon 315, and DHCP 316. The DHCP server and Soln daemon provide this functionality.);

responsive to said first system qualifying for modification privileges, storing by said daemon a record of said registration at said DHCP server for authenticating any modify packets received from said first system (Inherent to registration would be storing a record of registration. Furthermore, the abstract teaches that registration information is collected.);

receiving a first modify packet from said first system by said daemon of said DHCP server which manages a stored configuration file specifying a dynamic internet protocol (IP) address for said dynamically configured system, wherein said first modify packet requests an extension of a lease time of said dynamic IP address for said dynamically configured system for

a duration of an installation on said dynamically configured system by said first system ([0118] teaches the DHCP server receiving requests for IPs and leasing the IPs.); and

responsive to said daemon confirming said first system as registered with said DHCP server, modifying by a service controller of said DHCP server said stored configuration file for said dynamically configured system according to said first modify packet received from said first system, such that said first system is enabled to request modification of said a DHCP server configuration file for said dynamically configured system to maintain a same address for said dynamically configured system during said installation and said DHCP server controls the modification of said configuration file ([0167] teaches the DHCP assignment in response to registration. Inherent to providing DHCP leases is the modification of the configuration file.).

As to claim 5, Wilson teaches:

The method according to claim 1 for modifying a DHCP configuration, wherein receiving a first modify packet from a first system further comprises:

receiving said first modify packet from said first system, wherein said modify packet specifies one from among a DHCP client, class and network, a particular option from among a plurality of DHCP options, and a value to assigned to said particular option ([0167] The DHCP server assigns leases, which is a DHCP option with a value associated with it, i.e. time.).

As to claim 20, Wilson teaches:

The method according to claim 1 for modifying a DHCP configuration, further comprising:

Art Unit: 2145

receiving a second request from a second system to register for a second lease time modification privilege at said daemon of said DHCP server, wherein said second system maintains a database of a plurality of host computers and a separate IP address associated with each host computer and maintains a plurality of media access control (MAC) addresses for identifying each node in a network least one dynamically configured system independent from said DHCP server (Fig. 3, Registration Device Driver 304, Soln Daemon 315, and DHCP 316. The DHCP server and Soln daemon provide this functionality. The second system is interpreted to be the Registration Device Driver, paragraphs [0118] and [0167].);

responsive to said second system qualifying for modification privileges, storing by said daemon a second record of said registration at said DHCP server for authenticating any modify packets received from said second system (Inherent to registration would be storing a record of registration. Furthermore, the abstract teaches that registration information is collected.);

receiving a second modify packet from said second system by said daemon of said DHCP server which manages a stored configuration file comprising a plurality of hostnames each matched to a separate MAC address, wherein said second modify packet requests updating a particular host name assigned to a particular MAC address in said stored configuration file ([0118] teaches the DHCP server receiving requests for IPs and leasing the IPs. See paragraph [0167] for the collection of the MAC address.);

responsive to said daemon confirming said second system as registered with said DHCP server, modifying by said service controller of said DHCP server said stored configuration file for said particular MAC address according to said second modify packet received from said second system ([0167] teaches the DHCP assignment in response to registration. Inherent to

providing DHCP leases is the modification of the configuration file. Furthermore the registration is taught to include the collection of the MAC address, paragraph [0167].)

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Kessler whose telephone number is (571) 270-5005. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:00 am - 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,675 Page 7

Art Unit: 2145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MK/

/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145